

The Psychopathology of the Manosphere

Sam Vaknin*

Department of Psychology, Southern Federal University, Russia

1. Abstract

There is no question that traditional gender roles are dead and that sex has become merely a physical function, no longer associated with intimacy. Both men and women feel disoriented and overlooked in this maelstrom of gender vertigo.

It is also true that women are empowered and, having been only recently emancipated, are imitating the traits and behaviors of antisocial men. It is a veritably post-apocalyptic age for relationships and dating.

But the solution is not avoidance or manipulation. We need a new social contract between the bearers of disparate genitalia. And we need with our heads rather than with our nether organs.

2. Research Note

Pick-up artists (PUA) are communities of men, guided by self-imputed "experts" who purport to have found the exact sequences of buttons to push to get a woman to succumb and offer access to her body.

They fail to see the irony: like home broken and trained puppies they jump through hoops held high by females and adhere religiously to a script written entirely by the fairer sex: "You want to sleep with me? You have to go through these motions and act clownishly for hours"

I have even less respect for self-disparaging and self-loathing incels who whine constantly and pathetically about being shunned by women and how they have a God-given right to sex, by force if need be (black pillers). Some of these misfits even undergo extensive

cosmetic surgeries to fix their "facial deformities", a form of body dysmorphic insanity known as lookmaxing. Red pillers are more benign: they claim only to have seen the light and the true nature of women as rapacious and psychopathic entities who leverage the institutions of society to their unbridled and dysempathic benefit.

The logical extension of this alleged power asymmetry is to avoid all committed relationships (casual sex is fine): enter MGTOW (Men Going Their Own Way)

There is no question that traditional gender roles are dead and that sex has become merely a physical function, no longer associated with intimacy. Both men and women feel disoriented and overlooked in this maelstrom of gender vertigo.

It is also true that women are empowered and, having been only recently emancipated, are imitating the traits and behaviors of antisocial men. It is a veritably post-apocalyptic age for relationships and dating.

But the solution is not avoidance or manipulation. We need a new social contract between the bearers of disparate genitalia. And we need with our heads rather than with our nether organs.

More and more financially emancipated women mimic psychopathic men, adopting both their misbehaviors and their traits. A curious gender inversion seems to be

*Corresponding author: Sam Vaknin, Department of Psychology, Southern Federal University, ул. Bol'shaya Sadovaya Ulitsa, 105/42, Rostov-on-Don, Rostov Oblast, 344006, Russia, Tel: +38978319143, +79884640967; Email: samvaknin@gmail.com

Received Date: April 28, 2020; Accepted Date: April 30, 2020; Published Date: May 2, 2020

Occurring: men are assuming hitherto feminine roles and reactive patterns.

For example, judging by numerous reports from the crowded clinics of couple therapists, men are now more sex averse ("frigid") than women (they compensate with porn), they are more romantic and are more likely to be infatuated and to suggest to transition to a committed relationship after a bout of casual sex (women overwhelmingly decline such overtures for further contact after one night stands). Many men are stay at home dads as women become primary breadwinners.

Women are catching up to men in the frequency of cheating on their intimate partners and the number of one night stands, especially when these involve drinking or other forms of substance abuse.

In many places, more women than men frequent singles bars and dives and women are surging on dating apps where three quarters of them admit to scouting for anonymous sex partners or infidelity accomplices. Women sue for 73% of all divorces.

The floodgates are wide open: in a unigender world, gender roles are fluid and often inverted. Gender vertigo ensued followed by male avoidance in a misogynistic manosphere (MGTOW, red-pillers, incels)

This is part and parcel of a bigger trend: the ascent of aloneness. More and more people of both genders - since 2016 in the West, at least, the majority - choose to live alone: they find their own exclusive company irresistible. Technology rendered us utterly self-sufficient, so why be bothered with the quirks, moods, emotions and expectations of others? Procreation, marriage and family are phased out. Sex is gradually displaced by porn and occasional casual masturbation with other people's bodies. When it comes to relationships, the prize is just not worth the price.

The common wisdom when I was growing up was that as men get older, they have a greater number of potential partners (age hyper gamy)

As women age, they have a shrinking pool of possible

mates (age hypo gamy). This evolutionary asymmetry had always had profound social implications: it affected the structure of our societies as well our institutions and the ways they functioned, both formal (codified mores and norms) and informal.

All this is beginning to change for the first time since the agricultural revolution, thousands of years ago. Women are emancipated sexually and financially and are gradually taking over the reins. They are adopting hitherto exclusively masculine - even defiantly antisocial - behaviors, including ones pertaining to mate choice and selection.

Sex hypo gamy is the new normal: women prefer to stay single and childless, wedded to their careers and self-actualization as they sleep only with beta, weak, emasculated men, usually in hookups or short-term "relationships". Our dystopian reality is unigender: it is a world without women, only two types of men with different genitalia.

Sex hyper gamy - a preference for accomplished strong alpha males even for casual sex - is out the window. Women want to be on top in every possible way.

So, red pillers are right about the 80/20 Pareto principle: 80 percent of women do want to sleep with only 20 percent of all men. But they got the 20 percent wrong: women want to copulate with the 20 percent who are beta males! Women assiduously avoid the intimidating and challenging alpha men whose success and prowess constitute an unbearable narcissistic injury to the competitive, independent female.

There is a surging global subculture of misogyny (woman hatred) that women have been ignoring at their peril: incels (involuntary celibates), MGTOW (Men Going Their Own Way), pickup artists, red pillers (men who "realize" that women rule the world and are cruelly manipulating men), black pillers (men who give up on ever having any sexual or romantic relationship with women) and so on.

Many in these groups espouse militancy and even violence against women.

Such strident misogyny is new. Woman hatred is not

(see the works of Otto Weininger and August Strindberg a century ago).

Sam Vaknin wrote this when he was 19 anticipating recent developments by more than four decades:

"He thought that there is a schism between men and women. He feels sorry but he was -Weiningerian. He fear women and loathe them viscerally - while, in the abstract, he recognize that they are members of the human species and eligible to the same rights as men do. Still, the biological, biochemical and psychological differences between us (men versus women) are so profound that he thought that a good case can be made in favour of a theory which will assign them to another (perhaps even more advanced) species. He is heterosexual, so it has nothing to do with sexual preferences. Also he know that what he have to say will alienate and anger you. Still, he believe - as does Dr. Grey - that cross-gender communication is all but impossible. We are separated by biology, by history, by culture, by chemistry, by genetics, in short: by too much.

Where we see cruelty they see communication, where we see communication they see indifference, where we see a future they see a threat, where we see a threat they see an opportunity, where we see stagnation they see security and where we see safety they see death, where we get excited they get alarmed, where we get alarmed they get bored, we love with our senses, they love with their wombs and mind, they tend to replicate, we tend to assimilate, they are Trojan horses, we are dumb Herculesees, they succumb in order to triumph, we triumph in order to succumb."

Feminism caricatured men into a one-dimensional stereotype and women now aspire to become that caricature: they drink heavily, curse profusely, are "in your face, fuck you" antisocial and defiant, promiscuously and indiscriminately engage in emotionless one night stands, become workaholics, cheat on their intimate partners and, generally act as grandiose and entitled narcissists, devoid of any hint of empathy.

When confronted about their egregious misconduct, women respond indignantly with the "double standard" standard argument: "This is what men also do, no?"

The answer is: absolutely not.

Only some men behave this way and they are widely frowned upon, decried and held in contempt by the vast majority of males. Men and women should be utterly equal when it comes to all public goods (education, healthcare), all manner of rights, access, wages paid, economic opportunities, the law, treatment by the authorities and in society.

Equal but different.

Gender differences are the poetry and engine of life itself: sexual attraction, family formation, procreation, romantic love. But now women want to be IDENTICAL to men, not merely EQUAL and this threatens the very existence of the species.

What is much worse?

In their attempts to emulate men, women use the feminist sexist caricature of the "typical" male as a template: a drunk and vulgar man-whore womanizer who cheats on his spouse and works himself to death in a jungle hostile universe.

Women have learned to mistrust men: about half them are bitter and broken victims of abuse, divorced, single mothers, impoverished and hopeless.

Men Go Their Own Way (MGTOW) - a movement in the manosphere of men who renounce all contact with women - is merely a reaction to the fact that women have gone their own way a long time ago.

There are no women left, only narcissists with a different genital apparatus.

How tragic that we have lost each other, men and women. How heartbreaking.

3. References

1. [Stormberg D, Roningstam E, Gunderson J, Tohen M. Pathological Narcissism in Bipolar Disorder Patients. J Pers Disorder.1998; 12:179-185.](#)
2. [Roningstam E. Pathological Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder in Axis I Disorders. Harv Rev Psychiatry.1996; 3: 326-340.](#)

3. [Alford, Fred C. Narcissism: Socrates, the Frankfurt School and Psychoanalytic Theory - New Haven and London, Yale University Press.1988.](#)
4. [Fairbairn W. R. D. An Object Relations Theory of the Personality .1954.](#)
5. [Freud S. Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality. Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud.1905; 7.](#)
6. [Freud S. On Narcissism.14:73-107.](#)
7. [Golomb E. Trapped in the Mirror: Adult Children of Narcissists in Their Struggle for Self - Quill.1995.](#)
8. [Greenberg JR, Mitchell SA. Object Relations in Psychoanalytic Theory.1983.](#)
9. [Grunberger B. Narcissism: Psychoanalytic Essays. New York, International Universities Press .1979.](#)
10. [Guntrip H. Personality Structure and Human Interaction. New York, International Universities Press.1961.](#)
11. [Horowitz MJ. Sliding Meanings: A defense against threat in narcissistic personalities. International Journal of Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy.1975; 4: 167-180.](#)
12. [Jacobson E. The Self and the Object World. New York, International Universities Press. 1964.](#)
13. [Kernberg O. Borderline Conditions and Pathological Narcissism. New York, Jason Aronson. 1975.](#)
14. [Trust MK, The Writings of Melanie Klein - Ed. Roger Money-Kyrle - 4 vols. - New York, Free Press.1964-1975.](#)
15. [Kohut H. The Analysis of the Self - New York, International Universities Press. 1971.](#)
16. [Lasch C. The Culture of Narcissism. New York, Warner Books. 1979.](#)
17. [Alexander L, Narcissism: Denial of the True Self - Touchstone Books. 1997.](#)
18. [Millon T, Davis RD. Disorders of Personality: DSM IV and Beyond, 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons. 1995.](#)
19. [Millon T. Personality Disorders in Modern Life - New York: John Wiley and Sons. 2000; 188: 558.](#)
20. [Ronningstam E. Disorders of Narcissism: Diagnostic, Clinical and Empirical Implications. American Psychiatric Press.1998.](#)
21. [Ronningstam E. Pathological Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder in Axis I Disorders. Har Rev Psychiatry. 1996; 3: 326-340.](#)
22. [Rothstein A. The Narcissistic Pursuit of Reflection International Universities Press.1984.](#)
23. [Lester S. Narcissistic Personality Disorders - A Clinical Discussion. Journal of Am. Psychoanalytic Association.1974; 22: 292-306.](#)
24. [Daniel S. The Interpersonal World of the Infant. A View from Psychoanalysis and Developmental Psychology.1985.](#)
25. [David S, Ronningstam E, Gunderson J, Tohen M. Pathological Narcissism in Bipolar Disorder Patients. J Pers Disord. 1998; 12: 179-185.](#)
26. [Vaknin S Malignant Self Love – Narcissism Revisited, 10th revised impression – Skopje and Prague. Narcissus Publications. 2015.](#)
27. [Zweig P. The Heresy of Self-Love: A Study of Subversive Individualism.1968.](#)

Citation: Sam Vaknin. The Psychopathology of the Manosphere. SunKrist J Psychiatry Ment Health. 2020; 1: 1001.

Copy Right: © 2020 Sam Vaknin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.